



Federate Profiles - A Summary -

25 April 1996





Federate Profiles

- Basic profile was presented at AMG-10
 - Discussed earlier with Protofed Tech Leads
 - Eight questions, ninth added per AMG discussions
- AMG members tasked to provide initial writeup IAW profile
 - Out of 24 federates, 16 responses
 - Sporadic levels of detail





Federate Profile Outline

- 1. Describe your federate at the outset of the HLA prototyping process.
- 2. Describe the 'philosophy' driving your federate development/ adaptation.
- 3. Describe the portions of the federate software which were affected.
- 4. Describe new capabilities which were added to the software.
- 5. How extensive were the changes?
- 6. Estimated tasks and time required for adaptation.
- 7. If simulation was already adapted to work with other simulations; how did this facilitate the task of adapting for HLA?
- 8. Based on your experience, what opportunities can you identify for design guidance for other developers to assist in their efforts to adapt simulations or build HLA federate software?
- 9. (added at AMG-10) What was the programming model used in the federate?



Preliminary Compilation of Results

DMSO

• Number of Responses: 16 out of ~24 (STOW, IADS, SBD, and JPSD members missing)

Existing vs New Build: Roughly 50-50

• Existing program size (SLOC): smallest 50k, largest >1000k

Programming Language: most popular C++ (7)

next most popular C (5)

followed by Ada (3)

Others (Modsim III, LISP, Smalltalk, Fortran)

• Platforms: SGI, SGI Indigo, Sun, Sun Solaris, HP, IBM RISC, TAC-3, SUN SPARC, Motorola VME 68000, Windows NT

DIS Compatibility: Seven claim existing DIS compatibility

ALSP Compatibility: One claimed previous ALSP experience

Programming Model: Single Threaded 8

Multi-threaded 3

POSIX 9

Platform Specific 1